



**EFFECT OF ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON THE BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF *ABELMOSCHUS ESCULENTUS* (L.) MOENCH AND *AMARANTHUS TRICOLOR* L**

**Gayathri, V\* and Anitha, D**

Department of Botany, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Article History:**

Received 4th October, 2018

Received in revised form 25th

November, 2018

Accepted 23rd December, 2018

Published online 28th January, 2019

**Key words:**

Azospirillum, carbohydrate, chlorophyll, organic fertilizer, phosphobacteria, protein, VAM fungi

**ABSTRACT**

The use of organic sources enhances the absorption and release of macro as well as micronutrients and thus ensure their availability to the plant throughout its growing season. Through bio-fertilizers, fertilizer application can be reduced by 50%. In the present study, the biochemical parameters of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench and *Amaranthus tricolor* L. was estimated and on the 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day, the chlorophyll contents were found to be maximum in T<sub>4</sub>. On the 45<sup>th</sup> day, chlorophyll b was higher in T<sub>2</sub> in *Abelmoschus*. In amaranth, the biochemical parameters were tested on the 30<sup>th</sup> and 45<sup>th</sup> day. On the 30<sup>th</sup> day, chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b was more in T<sub>1</sub> and total chlorophyll was higher in T<sub>2</sub>. But, on the 45<sup>th</sup> day, combination of fertilizers showed better chlorophyll contents. In lady's finger, the protein content was maximum in plants treated with Phosphobacteria on all the days tested. Carbohydrate content was observed to be maximum in plants treated with *Azospirillum*. In amaranth, the protein content was higher in T<sub>2</sub> on 30<sup>th</sup> day and T<sub>4</sub> on 45<sup>th</sup> day. The carbohydrate content was observed to be maximum on both the days in plants treated with *Azospirillum*, VAM and Phosphobacteria.

Copyright©2019 Gayathri, V and Anitha, D. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**INTRODUCTION**

Vegetables play an important role in human nutrition. Most are low in fat and calories but are bulky and filling. They supply dietary fiber and are important sources of essential vitamins, minerals and trace elements. Particularly important are the antioxidant vitamins A, C and E. When vegetables are included in the diet, there is found to be a reduction in the incidence of cancer, stroke, cardiovascular disease and other chronic ailments. Research has shown that, compared with individuals who eat less than three servings of fruits and vegetables each day, those that eat more than five servings have an approximately twenty percent lower risk of developing coronary heart disease or stroke (Vegetables & Fruits, 2015). Fruit and vegetables, particularly leafy vegetables, have been implicated in nearly half the gastrointestinal infections caused by norovirus in the United States. These foods are commonly eaten raw and may become contaminated during their preparation by an infected food handler. Hygiene is important when handling foods to be eaten raw and such products need to be properly cleaned, handled and stored to limit contamination (Centres for Disease Control & Prevention, 2013). Excessive use of agro-chemicals like pesticides and fertilizers has affected the soil health and lead to decrease in crop yields as well as quality of products.

Hence, a natural balance has to be maintained to make the life and property exist. Organic farming is the best way for sustainable production of vegetable crops.

Bio-fertilizers such as *Azospirillum*, phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and mycorrhiza are capable of improving the mineral nutrients of plants and enhance the soil fertility. Phosphorus solubilising bacteria are capable of solubilising unavailable form of phosphorus into available form and make it available to plants (Veena *et al.*, 2009; Vijendrakumar *et al.*, 2014). The use of organic fertilizers could increase the yield of the vegetable crop as well as improve the fertility of the soil. The present study on the vegetable crop *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench and green leafy vegetable (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.) is an initiative to grow the plant under different organic fertilizers and estimate the biochemical constituents available in both the plants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The plant materials taken for the present study were *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench and *Amaranthus tricolor* (L.) belonging to the family Malvaceae and Amaranthaceae respectively. A pot study was carried out to estimate the biochemical parameters such as chlorophyll, protein and carbohydrate present at various stages of growth under different organic fertilizer treatments.

\*Corresponding author: **Gayathri, V**

Department of Botany, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore

### Collection of seeds

Seeds of both *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench and *Amaranthus tricolor* (L.) were obtained from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

### Collection of Fertilizers

The bio-fertilizers such as *Azospirillum*, VAM and Phosphobacteria were collected from TNAU, Coimbatore. The dosage used were as per the TNAU Agriportal.

### Organic Fertilizers

#### *Azospirillum*

They are called as associative endosymbiont on roots of grasses and similar types of plants. They are known to fix atmospheric nitrogen and benefit host plants by supplying growth hormones and vitamins. *Azospirillum* is considered to be more efficient and it has been reported that *Azospirillum* inoculation increases the growth, nitrogen uptake and yield in number of crops (Mallikarjuna Rao *et al.*, 2014).

#### *Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM)*

Mycorrhiza is a mutualistic association between plant roots and fungal mycelia. Many graminaceous plants, legumes and horticultural crops are highly susceptible to VAM colonization. The transfer of nutrients mainly phosphorus from the soil to the cells of the root cortex is mediated by intracellular obligate fungal endosymbiont of the genera *Glomus*, *Gigaspora*, *Endosone*, etc. which possess vesicles for storage of nutrients and arbuscules for funneling these nutrients into the root system.

The mycorrhizal fungi mobilize phosphates and other micronutrients like zinc, boron and molybdenum from adjacent soil to the root system through hyphal network (Mallikarjuna Rao *et al.*, 2014)

#### *Phosphobacteria*

Microorganisms are also involved in the availability of phosphorus, the second most important nutrient required by crop plants. The phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) solubilize the insoluble phosphates and make them available for crop plants in the rhizosphere region (Mallikarjuna Rao *et al.*, 2014).

## METHODS

### Pot culture experiment

Pot culture experiment was conducted with the two test plants. The experiment was carried out in the period from December 2017 to February 2018. The size of the experimental pot was 30 cm × 24 cm × 30 cm. Triplicates were maintained for each treatment.

The soil was cleaned by removing stones and other unwanted materials. The red soil and sand soil were mixed in the ratio of 1:1 and filled in pots of 7 kg capacity. A study was undertaken to assess the effect of different bio-fertilizers on the biochemical parameters of both the plants.

The seeds were soaked in different bio-fertilizers for 12 hours. The bio-fertilizers used for the study were *Azospirillum*, Phosphobacteria and Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi. In the growing stages of the plants, the bio-fertilizers were sprayed on the plants and biochemical assays

were carried out on 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> days of the plants for *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench and on 30<sup>th</sup> and 45<sup>th</sup> days of the plants for *Amaranthus tricolor* (L.).

The infection to the plants by various insects were controlled by spraying thulasi extract on the leaves of both the plants.

### Treatments

- T<sub>0</sub> – Control
- T<sub>1</sub> – *Azospirillum*
- T<sub>2</sub> – VAM
- T<sub>3</sub> - Phosphobacteria
- T<sub>4</sub> – *Azospirillum*+ VAM + Phosphobacteria

### Biochemical Parameters

The biochemical parameters studied in the leaves of the two plants were chlorophyll, protein and carbohydrate. In *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench the biochemical parameters were estimated on 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day and in *Amaranthus tricolor* (L.), it was estimated on 30<sup>th</sup> and 45<sup>th</sup> days.

## METHODS

### Estimation of Chlorophyll Content

Chlorophyll 'a', 'b' and total chlorophyll were analysed following the method of Arnon (1949).

### Estimation of Protein

Protein was estimated following the method of Lowry *et al.*, 1951.

### Estimation of Carbohydrate

Carbohydrate was estimated following the method of Hedge and Hofreiter, 1962.

### Statistical Analysis

The data obtained from various biochemical observations were subjected to statistical analysis as per the procedure of Panse and Sukhatme (1978). The significance and critical differences of various treatments were analysed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiments conducted in *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench and *Amaranthus tricolor* (L.) using different organic fertilizers treatments showed the following results.

### Biochemical parameters

The biochemical parameters such as chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b', total chlorophyll, protein and carbohydrate were analysed on 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day for *Abelmoschus esculentus*(L.) Moench and on 30<sup>th</sup> and 45<sup>th</sup> day for *Amaranthus tricolor*(L.) and tabulated.

#### *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench

##### Chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll

Chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content was found to be higher on the 30<sup>th</sup> day in plants treated with combination of *Azospirillum*, VAM and Phosphobacteria and the values were 0.0760 ± 0.0135 mg/g and 0.1570 ± 0.0192mg/g (Table 1) respectively. But, the chlorophyll 'b' content was observed to more in T<sub>1</sub> i.e., plants treated with *Azospirillum* (0.1733 ± 0.2166 mg/g).

Similarly, on the 45<sup>th</sup> day of growth, the chlorophyll 'a' and total chlorophyll content was observed to be more in T<sub>4</sub> (0.2313 ± 0.0798 mg/g and 0.3587 ± 0.0984 mg/g) respectively. But, the chlorophyll 'b' content was higher in T<sub>2</sub> (0.1230 ± 0.0460) mg/g (Table 2).

On the 60<sup>th</sup> day, all the three chlorophyll parameters i.e., chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll was found to be higher in plants treated with combination of organic fertilizers (Table 3). The values were 0.2087 ± 0.0117 mg/g (chlorophyll 'a'), 0.1850 ± 0.0078 mg/g (chlorophyll 'b') and 0.4210 ± 0.0201 mg/g (total chlorophyll).

The studies carried out by Uma Maheswari and Elakkiya (2014) have shown that combined inoculation of liquid bio-fertilizers such as *Rhizobium*, *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* could enhance the growth parameters as well as the biochemical constituents.

**Protein**

The protein content was estimated in 0.1 ml and 0.2 ml of the leaf sample on 30<sup>th</sup> day, 45<sup>th</sup> day and 60<sup>th</sup> day. On all the days, the protein content was higher in plants treated with Phosphobacteria (Table 4). In 0.1 ml sample tested, the protein content was found to be 4.97±0.47, 6.13±1.21 and 8.40±0.17 on the 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day respectively. Similarly, in 0.2 ml sample tested, the protein content was found to be 3.13±0.06, 4.07±0.23 and 4.73±0.15 on the 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day respectively. It shows that the presence of phosphate solubilizing bacteria has an effect on the protein content of the vegetable crop.

**Carbohydrate**

The carbohydrate content was estimated on the 30<sup>th</sup> day, 45<sup>th</sup> day and 60<sup>th</sup> day and it was more in T<sub>1</sub> (Table 5). The values were 3.20±0.17, 4.00±0.20 and 5.63±0.78 in 0.1ml of the sample tested on the 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day respectively. In 0.2ml of the sample tested, the carbohydrate content was found to be 2.07±0.06, 2.53±0.47 and 3.87±0.91 on the 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day respectively.

The beneficial effect of different organic fertilizers on total carbohydrate content has been reported by Hussein *et al.* (2012). They have reported that the benefit may be due to the role of macro and micro nutrients provided by the organic fertilizers which stimulate the metabolic processes and photosynthetic apparatus resulting in more photosynthesis and carbohydrate synthesis.

**Table 1** Chlorophyll 'a', Chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench on the 30<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g.f.wt)

Treatments	Chlorophyll 'a'	Chlorophyll 'b'	Total chlorophyll
T <sub>0</sub>	0.0577 ± 0.0075	0.0373 ± 0.0185	0.0910 ± 0.0406
T <sub>1</sub>	0.0607 ± 0.0172	0.1733 ± 0.2166	0.1067 ± 0.0350
T <sub>2</sub>	0.0630 ± 0.0087	0.0333 ± 0.0139	0.1017 ± 0.0067
T <sub>3</sub>	0.0627 ± 0.0390	0.0473 ± 0.0093	0.1430 ± 0.0066
T <sub>4</sub>	0.0760 ± 0.0135	0.0710 ± 0.0231	0.1570 ± 0.0192
SEd	0.0168	0.0801	0.0211
CD (P<0.05)	0.0375	0.1784	0.0469

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

**Table 2** Chlorophyll 'a', Chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench on the 45<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g.f.wt)

Treatments	Chlorophyll 'a'	Chlorophyll 'b'	Total chlorophyll
T <sub>0</sub>	0.1007 ± 0.0774	0.0643 ± 0.0140	0.2303 ± 0.0150
T <sub>1</sub>	0.1077 ± 0.0517	0.0853 ± 0.0249	0.2627 ± 0.0304
T <sub>2</sub>	0.1760 ± 0.0452	0.1230 ± 0.0460	0.3227 ± 0.0969
T <sub>3</sub>	0.1583 ± 0.0303	0.1047 ± 0.0391	0.2840 ± 0.0722
T <sub>4</sub>	0.2313 ± 0.0798	0.0963 ± 0.0129	0.3587 ± 0.0984
SEd	0.0490	0.0248	0.0582
CD (P<0.05)	0.1091	0.0554	0.1297

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

**Table 3** Chlorophyll 'a', Chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench on the 60<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g.f.wt)

Treatments	Chlorophyll 'a'	Chlorophyll 'b'	Total chlorophyll
T <sub>0</sub>	0.1590 ± 0.0030	0.1677 ± 0.0258	0.3410 ± 0.0406
T <sub>1</sub>	0.1747 ± 0.0376	0.1793 ± 0.0312	0.3770 ± 0.0662
T <sub>2</sub>	0.1937 ± 0.0263	0.1310 ± 0.0330	0.3503 ± 0.0572
T <sub>3</sub>	0.1827 ± 0.0437	0.1687 ± 0.0195	0.3753 ± 0.0693
T <sub>4</sub>	0.2087 ± 0.0117	0.1850 ± 0.0078	0.4210 ± 0.0201
SEd	0.0236	0.0206	0.0440
CD (P<0.05)	0.0525	0.0458	0.0980

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

**Table 4** Protein Content of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench on the 30<sup>th</sup> day, 45<sup>th</sup> day and 60<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g f.wt.)

Treatments	30 <sup>th</sup> day		45 <sup>th</sup> day		60 <sup>th</sup> day	
	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
T <sub>0</sub>	3.10 ± 0.26	2.20 ± 0.17	5.07 ± 0.38	2.73 ± 0.25	5.77 ± 0.06	3.47 ± 0.42
T <sub>1</sub>	3.67 ± 0.87	2.40 ± 0.53	5.03 ± 0.81	3.40 ± 0.30	6.53 ± 0.40	4.37 ± 0.25
T <sub>2</sub>	3.77 ± 0.76	2.63 ± 0.55	5.53 ± 0.40	3.47 ± 0.45	6.20 ± 0.10	3.73 ± 0.40
T <sub>3</sub>	4.97 ± 0.47	3.13 ± 0.06	6.13 ± 1.21	4.07 ± 0.23	8.40 ± 0.17	4.73 ± 0.15
T <sub>4</sub>	4.63 ± 0.31	2.83 ± 0.49	6.03 ± 0.55	3.77 ± 0.45	7.40 ± 0.92	4.43 ± 0.38
SEd				0.33830		
CD (P<0.05)				0.67670		

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

**Table 5** Carbohydrates content of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench on the 30<sup>th</sup> day, 45<sup>th</sup> day and 60<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g f. wt)

Treatments	30 <sup>th</sup> day		45 <sup>th</sup> day		60 <sup>th</sup> day	
	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
T <sub>0</sub>	2.37 ± 0.38	1.47 ± 0.25	3.60 ± 0.40	2.13 ± 0.23	4.23 ± 0.67	2.67 ± 0.55
T <sub>1</sub>	3.20 ± 0.17	2.07 ± 0.06	4.00 ± 0.20	2.53 ± 0.47	5.63 ± 0.78	3.87 ± 0.91
T <sub>2</sub>	2.90 ± 0.20	1.90 ± 0.26	3.40 ± 0.35	2.50 ± 0.20	5.43 ± 1.29	3.67 ± 0.57
T <sub>3</sub>	2.53 ± 0.32	1.73 ± 0.06	3.50 ± 0.20	2.37 ± 0.38	4.57 ± 0.31	3.10 ± 0.46
T <sub>4</sub>	2.63 ± 0.35	1.73 ± 0.06	3.63 ± 0.38	2.13 ± 0.25	4.77 ± 0.42	3.47 ± 0.61
SEd				0.38413		
CD (P<0.05)				0.76838		

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

***Amaranthus tricolor* (L.)**

**Chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll**

In *Amaranthus tricolor* (L.), the chlorophyll 'a' and chlorophyll 'b' content was found to be higher in T<sub>1</sub> (*Azospirillum* treated plants) on the 30<sup>th</sup> day (Table 6) and the values were 0.3723 ± 0.4050 mg/g and 0.3507 ± 0.4844 mg/g respectively. The total chlorophyll content was observed to be more (0.3680 ± 0.0960 mg/g) in T<sub>2</sub> (VAM treated plants).

On the 45<sup>th</sup> day, all the chlorophyll parameters namely chlorophyll 'a', chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll contents were higher in T<sub>4</sub> i.e., plants treated with the combination of organic fertilizers (Table 7).

Chlorophyll is one of the important pigment content which is used as an index of plant production capacity. The pigment content is an indication of photosynthetic and metabolic activity. The chlorophyll is an integral part of plant pigments

and play an important role in the process of photosynthesis. The highest chlorophyll content recorded in *Arachis hypogea* L. in vermicompost and AM fungi applied plants (Lenin *et al.*, 2012) correlate with the result obtained in the present study on lady's finger and Amaranth.

The results of the study carried out by Nalawde and Bhalerao (2015) on the growth of *Vignasp* showed a significant improvement in the growth parameters. The total chlorophyll content was also found to be significantly higher in treated plants than the control plants.

**Protein**

The protein content was estimated at two different concentrations on 30<sup>th</sup> day and 45<sup>th</sup> day and presented in (Table 8). The protein content was higher in T<sub>2</sub> on the 30<sup>th</sup> day and T<sub>4</sub> on the 45<sup>th</sup> day. The values were significant at 5 % level.

**Carbohydrate**

The carbohydrate content of *Amaranthustricolor* (L.) was estimated on the 30<sup>th</sup>day and 45<sup>th</sup> day at two different concentration levels, it was found to be higher in T<sub>4</sub> treatment i.e., plants treated with the combination of organic fertilizer. The values were found to be 2.83 ± 0.06 mg/g and 4.63 ± 0.75 mg/g at 0.1 ml concentration on the 30<sup>th</sup> day and 45<sup>th</sup> day. Similarly, the higher value of 1.73 ± 0.21 mg/g and 3.77 ± 0.78 mg/g was found in 0.2 ml concentration on the 30<sup>th</sup> day and 45<sup>th</sup> day (Table 9).

**Table 6** Chlorophyll 'a', Chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content of *Amaranthustricolor*(L.) on the 30<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g.f.wt)

Treatments	Chlorophyll 'a'	Chlorophyll 'b'	Total chlorophyll
T <sub>0</sub>	0.1000 ± 0.0151	0.1017 ± 0.0023	0.1910 ± 0.0217
T <sub>1</sub>	<b>0.3723 ± 0.4050</b>	<b>0.3507 ± 0.4844</b>	0.2387 ± 0.0346
T <sub>2</sub>	0.1640 ± 0.0480	0.1480 ± 0.0957	<b>0.3680 ± 0.0960</b>
T <sub>3</sub>	0.1013 ± 0.0354	0.0417 ± 0.0271	0.1563 ± 0.0386
T <sub>4</sub>	0.1490 ± 0.0530	0.1520 ± 0.0148	0.3247 ± 0.0561
SEd	0.1508	0.1807	0.0455
CD (P<0.05)	0.3361	0.4025	0.1014

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

**Table 7** Chlorophyll 'a', Chlorophyll 'b' and total chlorophyll content of *Amaranthustricolor*(L.) on the 45<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g.f.wt)

Treatments	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Total chlorophyll
T <sub>0</sub>	0.1533 ± 0.0155	0.1133 ± 0.0253	0.2867 ± 0.0206
T <sub>1</sub>	0.2430 ± 0.0471	0.0787 ± 0.0200	0.3543 ± 0.0541
T <sub>2</sub>	0.2023 ± 0.0310	0.1287 ± 0.0049	0.3543 ± 0.0345
T <sub>3</sub>	0.1627 ± 0.0177	0.1240 ± 0.0508	0.3337 ± 0.0354
T <sub>4</sub>	0.3063 ± 0.0127	0.1420 ± 0.0090	0.4883 ± 0.0095
SEd	0.0228	0.0223	0.0280
CD (P<0.05)	0.0508	0.0496	0.0624

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

**Table 8** Protein Content of *Amaranthustricolor*(L.) on the 30<sup>th</sup>day and 45<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g f.wt.)

Treatments	30 <sup>th</sup> day		45 <sup>th</sup> day	
	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
T <sub>0</sub>	2.67 ± 0.21	1.67 ± 0.15	5.47 ± 0.31	2.27 ± 0.12
T <sub>1</sub>	2.77 ± 0.15	1.53 ± 0.31	3.57 ± 0.40	3.40 ± 0.10
T <sub>2</sub>	2.83 ± 0.68	1.97 ± 0.21	4.07 ± 0.31	3.00 ± 0.30
T <sub>3</sub>	2.57 ± 0.21	1.80 ± 0.10	4.03 ± 0.68	3.67 ± 0.78
T <sub>4</sub>	2.77 ± 0.21	1.77 ± 0.15	4.57 ± 0.85	3.77 ± 0.78
SEd		0.34960		
CD(P<0.05)		0.70659		

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

**Table 9** Carbohydrate content of *Amaranthustricolor* (L.) on the 30<sup>th</sup> day and 45<sup>th</sup> day (mg/g f. wt)

Treatments	30 <sup>th</sup> day		45 <sup>th</sup> day	
	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
T <sub>0</sub>	2.13 ± 0.47	1.47 ± 0.15	2.80 ± 0.10	1.73 ± 0.15
T <sub>1</sub>	2.40 ± 0.10	1.57 ± 0.21	2.87 ± 0.06	1.83 ± 0.06
T <sub>2</sub>	2.53 ± 0.21	1.70 ± 0.10	3.93 ± 0.42	2.63 ± 0.40
T <sub>3</sub>	2.37 ± 0.15	1.57 ± 0.12	4.03 ± 0.68	3.67 ± 0.78
T <sub>4</sub>	2.83 ± 0.06	1.73 ± 0.21	4.63 ± 0.75	3.77 ± 0.78
SEd		0.31868		
CD(P<0.05)		0.64409		

Values are mean ± SD of three samples in each group

Bio-fertilizers are used to hasten the biological activity of the plants to improve the availability of plant nutrient (Kumari *et al.*, 2015). The work on the growth and establishment of cashew grafts under greenhouse condition by Shankarappa *et al.* (2017) have shown that the bio- fertilizers used increased the growth and nutrient uptake of the cultivar. *Amaranthus* is one of the plants that accumulate nitrates especially when soil fertility is very high (Alegbejo, 2013). Green leafy vegetables represent an excellent component of the habitual diet in the tropical and temperate countries (Ashok kumar *et al.*, 2013).

The higher content of protein and carbohydrate on the 45<sup>th</sup> day in plants treated with *Azospirillum*, VAM and phosphobacteria in combination indicates that the plants are able to mobilize the phosphorus content from the soil through Phosphobacteria and also grow well with the help of the other organic fertilizers.

**References**

Alegbejo, J.O. (2013). Nutritional Value and Utilization of *Amaranthus* (*Amaranthus* spp.)- A Review. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*.6(1): 136-143.

Arnon, D.E. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast. *Pl. Physiol.*, 24: 1-5.

Ashok Kumar, C.K., DivyaSree, M.S., Joshna, A., Mohana Lakshmi, S., Satheeshkumar, D. (2013) A Review on South Indian Edible Leafy Vegetables. *Journal of Global Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences*.4(4):1248-1256.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013). "Attribution of Foodborne Illness, 1998–2008". *Estimates of Foodborne Illness in the United States*. 19 (3).

Hedge, J.E. and Hofreiter, B.T. (1962).Determination of total carbohydrate by anthronemethod. *In: Carbohydrate chemistry* (Eds.) Whistler, R.L. and Be Miller, J.N., Academic Press, New York, p.17.

Hussein, M.S., Saber, F., Hendaway., Soheir, E. E1-Sherbeny. (2012). Comparative effect of Organic fertilizers on Growth and Chemical Constituents of *Plantagoovata* plant. *Caspian Journal of Applied Sciences Research*.1 (6): 13-19.

Kumari, C., Manker, A., Karuna, K., Solankey, S. S. and Singh, V. K. (2015). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and microbial inoculants on yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata*) cv. Pride of India. *India J. Agricultural Sciences*.85(4): 515-8.

Lenin, M., Thamizhiniyan, P., Ravi Mycin, T., Devasena, T., Indira, P. and Soruba, R. (2012). Field response of groundnut *Arachishypogaea* L. inoculated with vermicompost and Arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi. *Int J Curr Sci*. 287-298

- Lowry, 267-273. O.H., Rosenbrough, N.S., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. (1951). Protein measurement with folin phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193: 267-273.
- Mallikarjuna Rao, K., Singh, P.K., Ryingkhun, H.B.K. and Maying, B. (2014). Use of Bio-Fertilizer in Vegetable. *Production Indian Horticulture Journal.* 4(1): 73-76.
- Nalawde, A.A. and Bhalerao, S.A. (2015). Response of Black gram *Vigna mungo* (L. Hepper) to Biofertilizer. 3(1): 81-84.
- Panase, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1978). Statistical methods for agricultural workers, 3<sup>rd</sup>Edn., ICAR, New Delhi, 347.
- Shankarappa, T.K., Mushrif, S.K., Subramanyam, B., Sreenatha, A., Maruthi Prasad, B.N., Aswathanarayana Reddy, N. (2017). Effect of Biofertilizers on Growth and Establishment of Cashew Grafts under Nursery Condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.* 6 (8):1959-1965.
- Uma Maheswari, N and Elakkiya, T. (2014). Effect of liquid Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield of *Vigna mungo* L. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Rev. Res.* 29(2): 42-45.
- Veena, S.C, Alagawadi, A.R, Shankarappa, T.H. and Krishnaraj, P.U. (2009). Development of inoculums consortia for improved performance: II. Impact on growth and nutrient uptake of Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). *J. Soil Bio Ec ol.* 29(1 and 2): 52-59.
- Vegetables and Fruits. Harvard School of Public Health. 2015-09-14.
- Vijendrakumar, R.C., Sreeramu, B.S., Shankarappa, T.H., Santhosh, K.V., Mallikarjuna Gowda, A.P. and Umesha, K. (2014). Effect of liquid Biofertilizers on growth, yield and survival of seedlings in Garden Rue (*Rutagraveolens* Linn.). *Plant Archives* 14(1): 171-175

**How to cite this article:**

Gayathri, V and Anitha, D (2019) 'Effect of Organic Fertilizers on the Biochemical Parameters of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (l.) Moench and *Amaranthustricolor l*', *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, 08(01), pp. 16732-16736.  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2019.16736.3104>

\*\*\*\*\*