# **International Journal of Current Advanced Research**

ISSN: O: 2319-6475, ISSN: P: 2319-6505, Impact Factor: 6.614 Available Online at www.journalijcar.org Volume 7; Issue 3(K); March 2018; Page No. 11188-11191 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2018.11191.1930



## THE EFFECTS OF VITAMIN D SUPPLEMENTS ON PREVENTION OF RECURRENCE OF PREECLAMPSIA

#### Sudha<sup>1</sup>., Mayuri<sup>2</sup> and Mamatha N<sup>3</sup>

ChalmedaAnandRao Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar-505001, Telangana

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
A R T I C L E I N F O Article History: Received 24 <sup>th</sup> December, 2017 Received in revised form 13 <sup>th</sup> January, 2018 Accepted 8 <sup>th</sup> February, 2018 Published online 28 <sup>th</sup> March, 2018	<ul> <li>Introduction: Preeclampsia is a pregnancy-specific syndrome. One of the hypotheses concerning the etiology of preeclampsia is vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy.</li> <li>Materials and methods: The present study is a randomized controlled clinical trial which aims to determine the effect of vitamin D supplement on reducing the probability of recurrent preeclampsia. 144patients were placed in control group while 140 patients were randomized to the intervention group. The intervention group received a 50000 IU pearl vitamin D3 once every two weeks. The control group was administered placebo. Vitamin D or placebo was given until the 36th week of pregnancy.</li> <li>Results: The patients in intervention group have significantly lower (P value = 0.036) probability of preeclampsia than patients in the control group. The risk of preeclampsia for the control group was 1.94 times higher than that for the intervention group.</li> <li>Conclusion: The intended intervention (i.e., prescription of vitamin D) has a protective effect against recurrent preeclampsia. Vitamin D supplementation therapy in pregnancy could help in reducing the incidence of gestational hypertension/preeclampsia.</li> </ul>		

Copyright©2018 **Sudha et al.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Preeclampsia is pregnancy-specific syndrome, characterized by high blood pressure induced and proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation. It complicates 2–8% of all pregnancies and accounts for 25% of all maternal deaths and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Although preeclampsia is something more than simple gestational hypertension with proteinuria, development of proteinuria is still one significant and objective diagnostic measure of this disorder. Proteinuria is defined as excretion of more than 300 mg of protein in 24-hour urine collection, protein-creatinine ratio of 0.3 or higher in random urine samples, or consistent amount of protein (i.e., 30 mg per deciliter) in randomly taken samples of urine (i.e., +1 result on dipstick) [1].

Disorders of calcium metabolism, including hypocalciuria and low vitamin D level, have been consistently described, during in the course of pregnancy of women who later developed preeclampsia [2–4].

Factors contributing to preeclampsia are diabetes, chronic hypertension before pregnancy, chronic kidney diseases, nulliparity, twin or multiple pregnancy, family history of preeclampsia or eclampsia, obesity, immune disorders and a personal history of preeclampsia, or eclampsia.

\**Corresponding author:* **Sudha** ChalmedaAnandRao Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar-505001, Telangana Vitamin D is especially important during pregnancy as low maternal vitamin D stores may contribute to problems such as low birth weight and small for gestational age infants, as well an increased risk of maternal comorbidities [5].

Vitamin D deficiency is worldwide epidemic, with a prevalence that ranges from 18% to 84% depending on the country of residence, ethnicity, and local clothing customs and dietary intake [6, 7]. Clinical studies establishing an association between vitamin D levels and adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, and low birth weight, preterm labour, and caesarean delivery have conflicting results [8].

Previous studies have confirmed that low level of vitamin D disrupts the balance between Th1 and Th2 and contributes to overexpression of Th1 cytokines. The latter event affects immunological tolerance of embryo implantation. The studies suggest that deficiency of vitamin D could be associated with higher expression of Th1 which is observed in cases of preeclampsia [9].

There are different hypothesis concerning the etiology of preeclampsia, one of which is vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy. In the present study, vitamin D supplement was administered to pregnant women with history of preeclampsia in previous pregnancies. Considering the fact that one of the possible etiologies of preeclampsia is the increased requirement of vitamin D during pregnancy, that increased need is satisfied by prescribing vitamin D supplement and will allow us to examine its role in preventing preeclampsia.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present study is a randomized controlled clinical trial which aims to determine the effect of vitamin D supplement on reducing the probability of recurrent preeclampsia in pregnant women with history of preeclampsia.

The study population included 284 individuals who came to the obstetrical clinic in Chalmedaanandrao institute of medical sciences who were receiving prenatal care and had a history of preeclampsia in previous pregnancies who had satisfied inclusion criteria. The participants were randomly placed into two groups (i.e., intervention group and control group). Consequently, 144 patients were placed in control group while 140 patients were classified into intervention group.

In the case of willingness to participate in the present study, they were given agreement forms to fill in and their serum levels of vitamin D3 were analyzed.

Inclusion criteria: level of 25-hydroxy vitamin D was equal or higher than 25 ng/ml (i.e., normal range)

Exclusion criteria: Risk of chronic hypertension before pregnancy, concurrent renal, pulmonary and cardiac diseases, immunologic diseases such as lupus, lack of confidence in patient's cooperation to complete study, and immigration or leaving location of study.

After satisfaction of inclusion and exclusion criteria, simple randomization and blinding were done concurrently. In this regard, 140 pockets of drug and placebo were randomly (by using table of random numbers) offered and neither physician nor patients knew about administration of drug or placebo.

After inclusion in the present study, blood samples of all patients were taken to analyze level of vitamin D. After 12 hours of fasting, level of vitamin D was determined through Liebermann-Burchard method. After obtaining consent for participation blood was sent to the laboratory for vitamin D analysis. Once the patient was determined to be eligible for the study the study drug was started, the intervention group received a 50000 IU pearl vitamin D3 once every two weeks. The control group was administered placebo. Both groups received a study drug (vitamin D or placebo) until the 36th week of pregnancy.

Identification of patients with preeclampsia was done through clinical examination and review of laboratory results (e.g., blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg or higher in sitting position) and proteinuria of higher than +1. Blood pressure was measured every two weeks while receiving the study drug.

If blood pressure was equal to or higher than 140/90 mm Hg in sitting position, urine test for proteinuria was requested. In the case of observing normal blood pressure, the patient was reexamined two weeks later.

### RESULTS

Total number of study participants was 284 individuals who had satisfied inclusion criteria. The participants were randomly placed into two groups (i.e., intervention group and control group). Consequently, 144 patients were placed in control group while 140 patients were classified into intervention group. The baseline characteristics of both groups are shown in Table 1.

In intervention group, all patients had singleton pregnancy while four cases in the control group (2.8 percent) had twin pregnancy. Four cases (2.9 percent) from intervention group and 8 cases (5.6 percent) in the control group had married for second time and the rest of participants had married once.

In regard to residence location, 40 individuals (28.6 percent) in the intervention group were living in villages and the remaining 100 individuals (71.4 percent) of the intervention group were urban residents. In the control group, one could state that 46 individuals (31.9 percent) were rural residents and the remaining 98individuals (68.1 percent) were urban residents. Positive history of diabetes was found in 8 patients (5.6 percent) in the intervention group while there was no such a history in the control group. No history of cardiac diseases, gestational hypertension, high blood pressure, thyroid disease, immunological disorders, lung diseases, and renal disorders was found for patients in either group. None of the participants had a history of consuming vitamin D supplement. Family history of preeclampsia was negative for all patients. In regard to fetal health, 96 patients (72.7 percent) in the intervention group and 124patients (87.5 percent) in the control group were screened.

None of the subjects reported side effects. There were cooperation and adherence of all study participants with taking the study drug

The comparison of termination of pregnancy by normal vaginal delivery or caesarean section or abortion is shown in Table 2.

 
 Table 2 Comparison of pregnancy types and end of pregnancy for intervention and control groups

	0 1			
group	NVD	c/s	Abortion	P value
Control group	86(59.7%)	54(37.5%)	4(2.8%)	0.88
Intervention group	66(47.1%)	74(52.9%)	0(0%)	
total	152(53.5%)	128(45.1%)		

Baseline characteristic variables(mean +/-SD)	Intervention group (n=140)	Control group(n=144)	Meaningful level (p value)
age	32.04+/-5.901	29.77+/-5.21	0.017
Number of previous pregnancies	3.04+/-1.13	2.92+/900	0.463
Weeks of pregnancies	14.39+/-3.12	14.39+/-2.69	0.997
Systolic blood pressure(mm of hg)	115.87+/-14.52	114.59+/-7.27	0.028
Diastolic blood pressure(mm of hg)	74.28+/-4.95	74.31+/-6.40	0.975
24 hour urinary protein(mg/cc)	132.22/1844.91+/-61.447	154.94/1958.53+/-53.376	0.023
BMI<18.5 KG/m <sup>2</sup> (n%)	2(1.4%)	2(1.4%)	0.267
BMI 18.5-24.9Kg/m <sup>2</sup> (n%)	46(32.9%)	28(19.4%)	0.267
BMI25.0-29.9Kg/m <sup>2</sup> (n%)	64(45.7%)	70(49.3%)	0.267
BMI>/=30.0 Kg/m <sup>2</sup> (n%)	26(18.6%)	42(29.6%)	0.267

P value is based on Fisher's exact tests: Pvalue<0.05 is statistically significant

The final outcome of the present study was recurrence of preeclampsia in the intervention group and control group. The patients in the intervention group havesignificantly lower (value = 0.036) probability of preeclampsia than patients in the control group. The relevant results are shown in Table 3.

#### Table 3 Comparision of preeclampsia incidence between intervention group and control group.

Group	Non preeclampsia	Preeclampsia	P value
Control group	100(69.4%)	44(30.6%)	0.036
Intervention group	118(84.3%)	22(15.7%)	
Total	218(76.8%)	66(23.2%)	

P value based on Chi square tests , p value  ${<}0.05$  is statistically significant.

## DISCUSSION

The active form of vitamin D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3, has been demonstrated to adjust the transcription and function of genes associated with normal implantation, placental invasion, and angiogenesis [12]. The immunomodulatory properties of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D are relevant. Abnormal implantation is proposed to be mediated at least in part by an abnormal immune response between pregnant mother and infant [13]. Maternal vitamin D deficiency may increase the inflammatory reaction [14]. Vitamin D deficiency may also increase the risk of hypertension (1)

Finally, renal vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) seems to be associated with proteinuria. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 could regulate angiogenic processes through effects on VEGF gene transcription [16].

Vitamin D deficiency, as measured by 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH) D] serum levels are common in pregnant women. A positive correlation between vitamin D level and adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preeclampsia, preterm birth, and gestational diabetes mellitus was shown in several meta-analyses of observational studies [17].

The active form of vitamin D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3, has been demonstrated to adjust the transcription and function of genes associated with normal implantation, placental invasion, and angiogenesis [12]. The immunomodulatory properties of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D are relevant. Abnormal implantation is proposed to be mediated at least in part by an abnormal immune response between pregnant mother and infant [13]. Maternal vitamin D deficiency may increase the inflammatory reaction [14]. Vitamin D deficiency may also increase the risk of hypertension (1)

Finally, renal vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) seems to be associated with proteinuria. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 could regulate angiogenic processes through effects on VEGF gene transcription [16].

Vitamin D deficiency, as measured by 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH) D] serum levels are common in pregnant women. A positive correlation between vitamin D level and adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preeclampsia, preterm birth, and

gestational diabetes mellitus was shown in several metaanalyses of observational studies [17].

Many studies have shown that the risk of preeclampsia is increased when vitamin D serum level is low [18]. Normal level of 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D may prevent preeclampsia by its effect on immune modulation and vascular function.

A recent meta-analysis has demonstrated a correlation between vitamin D and preeclampsia in various study types. They show that vitamin D could act as a preventive factor for preeclampsia [22].

Two clinical trials suggested that vitamin D has a potential role in the prevention of preeclampsia, but neither of them is treated with vitamin D only. In one, supplementation with a multivitamins and minerals supplement and halibut liver oil (containing 900 IU/d vitamin D) provided from 20-week gestation reduce the odds of preeclampsia by 32% (95% CI, 11–47%) [23]. In the other randomized trial 400 women treated with vitamin D (1200 IU/d) and calcium (375 mg/d) supplements or placebo at 20–24-week gestation experienced a significant reduction in blood pressure and a non significant reduction in the incidence of preeclampsia in the treated group compared with the placebo group (6 versus 9%) was seen [24].

UV-B rays (290 to 310 nm) received by a person on exposed body surfaces induce vitamin D synthesis by the skin [25]. An extremely significant association between vitamin D level and duration of sun exposure has been reported.

Pregnant and non pregnant women receive much less amount of sunlight especially in Islamic countries due to traditional norms and customs, as well as governmental rules.

A randomized controlled trial compared the daily administration of 400, 2000, or 4000 IU of vitamin D in pregnant women starting at 12 to 16 weeks of pregnancy until childbirth.

Prescription of vitamin D supplement of 4000 IU daily is more efficient in maintaining normal plasma level of 25(OH) vitamin D (>32 ng/ml) without any toxicity [26].

The risk of preeclampsia recurrence is increased in women with a history of preeclampsia. Maternal and neonatal complications are more common in cases of recurrent preeclampsia when compared to the initial episode[27].

Vitamin D is a promising candidate for preeclampsia prevention, and there is an urgent need for well-controlled randomized trials to test its effectiveness and safety.

## CONCLUSION

Vitamin D deficiency is highly prevalent in all parts of the world. Pregnant women and neonates are highly vulnerable to vitamin D deficiency.

Vitamin D supplementation therapy in pregnancy could help in reducing the incidence of gestational hypertension/preeclampsia.

#### Acknowledgement

I am thankful to the institute especially our chairman Sri Chalmeda Lakshmi NarsimhaRaogaru and our director Dr. Suryanarayana Reddy garu for providing their support for researching the present endeveaour.

#### References

- 1. J. W. Williams, "Reproductive Tract Abnormalities," in williams Obstetrics, chapter 40, section 11-1, 2014.
- E. A. Steegers, P. von Dadelszen, J. J. Duvekot, and R. Pijnenborg, "Pre-eclampsia," *The Lancet*, vol. 376, no. 9741, pp. 631–644, 2010.
- D. M. Shah, "Preeclampsia: new insights," Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 213–220, 2007.
- J. P. Forman, E. Giovannucci, M. D. Holmes *et al.*, "Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and risk of incident hypertension," *Hypertension*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1063–1069, 2007.
- B. W. Hollis, D. Johnson, T. C. Hulsey, M. Ebeling, and C. L. Wagner, "Vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy: double-blind, randomized clinical trial of safety and effectiveness," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 2341–2357, 2011.
- A.-L. Ponsonby, R. M. Lucas, S. Lewis, and J. Halliday, "Vitamin D status during pregnancy and aspects of offspring health," *Nutrients*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 389–407, 2010.
- S. Sharma, A. Kumar, S. Prasad, and S. Sharma, "Current Scenario of Vitamin D Status During Pregnancy in North Indian Population," *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 93–100, 2016.
- R. Morley, J. B. Carlin, J. A. Pasco, and J. D. Wark, "Maternal 25-hydroxyvitamin D and parathyroid hormone concentrations and offspring birth size," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 906–912, 2006.
- E. Hyppönen, "Vitamin D for the prevention of preeclampsia? A hypothesis," Nutrition Reviews, vol. 63, no. 7, pp. 225–232, 2005.
- J. M. Roberts and H. S. Gammill, "Preeclampsia: recent insights," *Hypertension*, vol. 46, no. 6 pp. 1243–1249, 2005.
- C. W. G. Redman, G. P. Sacks, and I. L. Sargent, "Preeclampsia: an excessive maternal inflammatory response to pregnancy," *American Journal of Obstetrics& Gynecology*, vol. 180, no. 2, pp. 499–506, 1999.
- G. S. Daftary and H. S. Taylor, "Endocrine Regulation of HOX Genes," *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 331–355, 2006.
- K. Muller, M. Diamant, and K. Bendtzen, "Inhibition of production and function of interleukin-6 by 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D3," *Immunology Letters*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 115–120, 1991.
- 14. M. Hewison, "Vitamin D and the immune system," *Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 132, no. 2, PP. 173–175, 1992.
- 15. Y. C. Li, J. Kong, M. Wei, Z. Chen, S. Q. Liu, and L. Cao, "1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 is a negative endocrine regulator of the renin-angiotensin system," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 110, no. 2, pp. 229–238, 2002.

- A. Cardus, E. Parisi, C. Gallego, M. Aldea, E. Fern'andez, and J.M. Valdivielso, "1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 stimulates vascularsmooth musclecell proliferation through a VEGFmediatedpathway," *Kidney International*, vol. 69, no. 8, pp. 1377–1384,2006.
- A. P. Mackay, C. J. Berg, and H. K. Atrash, "Pregnancy-Related Mortality from Preeclampsia and Eclampsia," *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 533–538, 2001.
- H. H. Burris, S. L. Rifas-Shiman, S. Y. Huh *et al.*, "Vitamin D status and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy," *Annals of Epidemiology*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 399–403, 2014.
- R. Ramon, F. Ballester, X. Aguinagalde *et al.*, "Fish consumptionduring pregnancy, prenatal mercury exposure, and anthropometricmeasures at birth in a prospective mother-infant cohortstudy in Spain,"The *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol.90, no. 4, pp. 1047–1055, 2009.
- 20. X. Lai, L. MinJae, J. Arun, and M. James, "The relationship of hypovitaminosisDand IL-6 in preeclampsia," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 210, no. 2, pp. 149.e1–149.e7,2014.
- M. I. Ullah, C. A. Koch, S. Tamanna, S. Rouf, and L. Shamsuddin, "Vitamin D deficiency and the risk of preeclampsia andeclampsia in Bangladesh," *Hormone* and Metabolic Research, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 682–687, 2013.
- 22. N. Arain, W. A. Mirza, and M. Aslam, "Review-vitamin Dand the prevention of preeclampsia: a systematic review," *PakJ Pharm Sci*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 1015-21, 2015.
- S. Olsen and N. Secher, "A possible preventive effect of low-dosefish oil on early delivery and pre-eclampsia: Indications from a50-year-old controlled trial," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 64,no. 3, pp. 599–609, 1990.
- R. Marya, S. Rathee, and M. Manrow, "Effect of calcium andvitamin D supplementation on toxaemia of pregnancy," *Gynecologicand Obstetric Investigation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 38–42, 1987.
- 25. Sablok A., Batra A., Batra A. *et al.*, "Vitamin D deficiency inpregnancy: An independent risk factor for increased maternaland foetal co-morbidities," *International Journal of HealthSciences and Research*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 57–63, 2017.
- L. M. Bodnar, J. M. Catov, and J. M. Roberts, "Racial/ethnic differences in themonthly variation of preeclampsia incidence," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 196, no. 4,pp. 324.e1– 324.e5, 2007.
- 27. G. A. Dildy, M. A. Belfort, and J. C. Smulian, "Preeclampsiarecurrence and prevention," Seminars in Perinatology, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 135–141, 2007.

\*\*\*\*\*\*