International Journal of Current Advanced Research

ISSN: O: 2319-6475, ISSN: P: 2319-6505, Impact Factor: SJIF: 5.995 Available Online at www.journalijcar.org Volume 7; Issue 2(G); February 2018; Page No. 10063-10064 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2018.10064.1689



Review Article

EFFICACY OF CRYSTALLOIDS AND COLLOIDS AS PRELOADING FLUIDS TO PREVENT HYPOTENSION IN SPINAL ANESTHESIA IN ELECTIVE C-SECTIONS AT M.G.HOSPITAL BHILWARA, RAJASTHAN

Manjula Muchhal¹ and Rajesh Chhaparwal²

¹Department of Anesthesia, M.G. Hospital Bhilwara ²Ex senior Specialist Pediatrics, M.G.Hospital Bhilwara rajasthan

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
<i>Article History:</i> Received 12 th November, 2017 Received in revised form 13 th December, 2017 Accepted 3 rd January, 2018 Published online 28 th February, 2018	 Background- Spinal anaesthesia is commonly used for C-section. Hypotension from spinal anaesthesia results from sympathetic blockade which causes vasodilatation and increase in capacitance vessels. Methods- This randomized control trials was conducted in the Department of Gynae & Obs, M.G. Hospital Bhilwara. A sample size of 100 cases;50 cases in each group. Results- In group (I), in 35 females, efficacy was achieved while in 15 females efficacy could not be achieved because of drop in BP. In group (II), in 36 females, efficacy was
Key words:	achieved while in 14 females, efficacy could not be achieved. The difference between both
Anesthesia, coload, hypotension, preload, spinal	groups was statistically insignificant but there were more females in group II in which efficacy was achieved. (p-value=0.784) Conclusion- According to this randomized trial we did not find any significant difference between both groups for prevention of hypotension.

Copyright©2018 Manjula Muchhal and Rajesh Chhaparwal. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Spinal anaesthesia is commonly used for C-section. This technique compared to general anaesthesia is not only safe, convenient to administer but it also provides excellent analgesia in the post-operative period. Studies conducted on maternal and fetal mortality indicate decreased morbidity and mortality when parturient are operated under spinal anaesthesia compared to general anaesthesia¹⁻². Inspite of all the benefits, the potential of spinal anaesthesia to cause maternal hypotension, predisposes the mother and fetus to adverse effects like nausea, vomiting, circulatory collapse, fetal hypoxia and at times even death of mother & fetus³.

The incidence of hypotension quoted in literature is quite as high as 85%9. Hypotension from spinal anaesthesia results from sympathetic blockade which causes vasodilatation and increase in capacitance vessels. This reduction in venous return to heart becomes further decreased in parturient, due to compression of graved uterus on inferior vena cava⁴.

Frequently anesthetists' use preventive measures like positioning of the patient, administration of different types of fluids or vasoconstrictors, but none of these measures have a clear cut advantage over the other⁵.

*Corresponding author: Manjula Muchhal Department of Anesthesia, M.G. Hospital Bhilwara

METHODS

This randomized control trials was conducted in the Department of Gynae & Obs, M.G. Hospital Bhilwara. A sample size of 100 cases; 50 cases in each group.

Inclusion criterion: Parturient undergoing elective caesarean section under spinal anesthesia, ASA I and II, Age 18-40 years.

Exclusion criterion: Known allergy to local anesthetics, patients of eclampsia and preeclampsia, known cases of hypertension, patients on anticoagulant therapy, known cases of thyrotoxicosis, known cases of severe stenotic valvular disease. Patients having infection i.e. bed sores or scabies at site of spinal injection, severe deformities of spine like scoliosis and kyphoscoliosis were excluded.

Data collection procedure: Parturient were randomly divided by lottery method into two groups; Group [RL] received ringer lactate, 20ml/kg body weight and Group [H] receiving 500ml of 3% heamccel. No patients were pre-medicated. Baseline readings of systolic blood pressure were taken prior to induction of anesthesia. Two wide bore intravenous cannulas were passed; one for medication and other for intravenous fluids. Patient was preloaded with the randomly assigned preloading fluid 15 minutes prior to spinal anesthesia. Spinal anesthesia was performed following asepsis with spinal needle no.23 G using standard technique for spinal anesthesia with hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.75%. After achieving the desired *Efficacy of Crystalloids and Colloids As Preloading Fluids to Prevent Hypotension in Spinal Anesthesia In Elective C-Sections at M.G.Hospital Bhilwara, Rajasthan*

level of block surgeon was allowed to start the procedure. Readings of systolic blood pressure were noted from the time of induction of spinal anesthesia to the time of umbilical cord clamping. All readings of blood pressure were entered in Performa. Decrease in blood pressure was treated with injection phenlephrine intravenous stat. Efficacy of colloid preloading was defined as the ability to prevent hypotension i.e., a fall in systolic blood pressure beyond 70% of patient's baseline systolic blood pressure after induction of spinal anesthesia, to the time of cord clamping in patient undergoing elective Caesarean section. Data was compiled and analyzed using SPSS-12. Descriptive statistics were employed to calculate the mean and standard deviation of age of patients. Efficacy (yes, no) was calculated as frequency and percentage. Efficacy was compared in both the groups by using Chi Square test. P value of <0.05 was considered as significant value.

RESULTS

 Table no1 General parameters

Parameters	Group-I	Group-II	p-value
Age	26.8±3.9	25.9±3.2	0.694
ASA I:II	43:7	41:9	0.962
Blood loss(ml)	305±120	320±150	0.852

The patient characteristics like age, ASA, weight, ASA status, average blood loss were comparable among the two group.

Table 2 comparison of efficacy achieved in both groups

)%) 36(72.0%)
0%) 14(28.0%)

In group (I), in 35 females, efficacy was achieved while in 15 females efficacy could not be achieved because of drop in BP. In group (II), in 36 females, efficacy was achieved while in 14 females, efficacy could not be achieved. The difference between both groups was statistically insignificant but there were more females in group II in which efficacy was achieved. (p-value=0.784)

DISCUSSION

Hypotension after spinal anaesthesia does not only cause inconvenience to the surgeon but the resulting nausea and vomiting may be distressing to the patient also. Hypotension in the parturient females if not treated timely and adequately may adversely affect the outcome of the fetus and mother³. Keeping in view the etiology of spinal hypotension preemptive measures focused on left literal tilt infusing fluid before and at the time of giving spinal anaesthesia or using vasoconstrictive drugs to combat hypotension². All these preventive and treatment modalities are known to prevent hypotension yet others have questioned the use of an agent and weighed its advantages against its adverse effects⁶. Crystalloid preloading has been popularly used to prevent spinal hypotension.³ Rout *et al*⁷ in his study compared patients who were not preloaded with those who were preloaded. Incidence of hypotension in the un preloaded patients was 71% compared to 55% in preloaded patients.

Grace E park⁸ and Rout found decreased incidence of hypotension with varying volume of ringer lactate, 10ml/kg-1 to 30 ml/kg-1 but, increasing the amount of fluid even further, could not decrease the incidence of hypotension as suggested by some studies⁹.

CONCLUSION

According to this randomized trial we did not find any significant difference between both groups for prevention of hypotension. Maximum number of patients in both groups had stable blood pressure.

References

- 1. Ouerghi S, Bougacha MA, Frikha N, Mestiri T, Ben Ammar MS, Mebazaa MS. Combined use of crystalloid preload and low dose spinal anesthesia for preventing hypotension in spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery: a randomized controlled trial. *MEJ Anesth* 2010;20(5):667-72
- Zainab Farid, Raja Mushtaq, Sabiha Ashraf et al Comparative Efficacy of Crystalloid Preloading and Co-Loading to Prevent Spinal Anesthesia Induced Hypotension In Elective Caesarean Section P J H M S, Jan – Mar 2016 Vol. 10 42.
- 3. Bharti Jalandhara*, J.C.Makwana A Comparative Study of Crystalloid and Colloids as Preloading in Spinal Anaesthesia for Prevention of Hypotension. *GCSMC J Med Sci* January-June 2014 Vol (III) No (I).
- 4. Stuart WB, Gertic FM. Acute hydration for prevention of hypotension of spinal anesthesia in parturients. *Anaesthesiology1998*; 29: 374-379.
- 5. Gupta S. Controversies in obstetric anesthesia. *Indian J* Anesth 2005; 49: 180-9.
- Norris MC. Hypotension during spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. Does it affect neonatal outcome? Regional Anesthesia 1987; 12: 191-193.
- Rout C, Rocke DA. Spinal hypotension associated with cesarean section: will preload ever work? *Anesthesiology* 1999;91:1565-7.
- 8. Park G, Hauch M, Curlin F, *et al.* The effects of varying volumes of crystalloid administration before cesarean delivery on maternal hemodynamics and colloid osmotic pressure. *Anesth Analg* 1996; 83:299-303.
- 9. Michele Zasa1,2, Eleonora Conci1, Alessandro Marchignoli1, Rita Pini1, Lorenzo Passeri1, Guido Fanelli1, Andrea Cornini1 Comparison of two different approaches to hypotension following spinal anaesthesia for Caesarean delivery: effects on neonatal and maternal wellbeing. *Acta Biomed* 2015; Vol. 86, N. 1: 45-52.

How to cite this article:

Manjula Muchhal and Rajesh Chhaparwal (2018) 'Efficacy of Crystalloids and Colloids As Preloading Fluids to Prevent Hypotension in Spinal Anesthesia In Elective C-Sections at M.G.Hospital Bhilwara, Rajasthan', *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, 07(2), pp. 10063-10064. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2018.10064.1689