International Journal of Current Advanced Research

ISSN: O: 2319-6475, ISSN: P: 2319-6505, Impact Factor: 6.614 Available Online at www.journalijcar.org Volume 9; Issue 01 (A); January 2020; Page No.20878-20883 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2020.20883.4088



GEI STUDY ON WHITE SANDALWOOD (SANTALUM ALBUM L) FROM THE ENVIRONMENT IN NEPAL AND INDIA

¹Roshan Kumar Yadav, ²Subrata Mukhopadhyay and ¹JagatpatiTah

¹Department of Life Science and Bio-technology, Jadavpur University, 188Raja Subodh Chandra Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032

²Department of Chemistry, Jadavpur University, 188 Raja Subodh Chandra Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032

| ARTICLE INFO | A B S T R A C T |
|--|--|
| Article History: Received 13 th October, 2019 Received in revised form 11 th November, 2019 Accepted 8 th December, 2019 Published online 28 th January, 2020 | White Sandalwood (<i>Santalum album L.</i>) belongs to the family santalaceae which is most precious timber wood worldwide. Though it is wild species since ancient time of human civilization, now, it has become a domesticated culture in tropics and subtropics world. Indeed there are more than seventy species under the genus Santalum in the world but, Santalum album, an indigneous white sandal species is the best one in the globe. Its immense beneficial uses attracted the present days Scientist's to draw their special attention for the mass propagation of this precious timber plant around the globe as and where it is |
| Key words: | adapted. Keeping all these views in mind we have started a venture to undertake the |
| Indigenous Species, Edaphic factors, Uniform agronomical measures, GEI interaction | adaptation problem and to study the environmental as well as edhapic factors from the gardens of Nepal and India. Seedlings were grown in different gardens of Nepal and India providing them uniform agronomical measures or their proper growth and development. All the Phenotypiccharacters viz plant height (cm), Branches per plant (no), basal girth (cm), leaf length (cm) and leaf breadth (cm) were critically studied in each garden year wise. It is mentioned here that six types of plant species were selected for its propagation in all those gardens. The types of plants have been mentioned in this text of the paper. From the experiment it is evident that very good responses towards growth and development though there was a variation in soil environment. The qualitative aspects were also studied from the forest garden of both the countries. In this case we followed the model as proposed by Comstock and Robinson (1952a) for enumerate the stability analysis as well as GEI interaction of the crop over the environments. The aims and objectives of this study were to observe the pattern of growth and development of different types of the genus prevailing over the location. The GEI interaction data and their peculiarity over the location has been cited in this context. |

Copyright©2020 **Roshan Kumar Yadav, Subrata Mukhopadhyay and JagatpatiTah.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Sandalwood (White Sandal) is the fragrant heartwood of some species of genus *Santalum*. The widely distributed and economically important *Santalum* genus belongs to family Santalaceae, includes 30 genera with about 400 species, many of which being completely or partially parasitic (John,1947). The word Sandal has been derived from Chandana (Sanskrit), Chandan (Persian), Savtador (Greek) and Santal (French). There are references of Sandalwood in Indian mythology, folklore and ancient scripts. "Chandana" the Sanskrit name ascribed to *Santalum album* L. was known and used in India from the earliest historic times and is frequently mentioned in the ancient Sanskrit writings, some of which dated before Christian era.

*Corresponding author: Roshan Kumar Yadav Department of Life Science and Bio-technology, Jadavpur University, 188Raja Subodh Chandra Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032 Kautilya"s Arthashastra (320 B.C.) considered Sandal as one of the important forest products to increase royal revenue. Charaka Sanhitha, the major text book of internal medicine in Ayurveda (300 B.C.) quotes uses of Sandal over 160 time in the entire text. In treatment of major diseases like fever, piles, hemorrhagic conditions, diabetes, dropsy, mental disorders, management of poisons & skin disorders widespread uses of sandal is seen. Susrutha Samhita (150 B.C.) a great text on Indian wisdom on surgical procedures, equally preferred sandal for the management of wounds. Sandal fumigation is indicated in warding off evils and organisms, which contaminate the wounds. Such fumigations hasten the wound healing & surgical wards remain aseptic. Dusting of wounds with sandal for early healing is common. In the Amarkosha (Lexicon 3rd or 4th Century A.D.) sandal is mentioned and it is said that "Vina-malayamanyathrachandanamvivarditha" [Majumdar, 1941]. The extraction and disposal of sandal came under the Forest Department in 1864 in Mysore state [Adkoli, 1977]. In Karnataka (formerly Mysore) the forest working plan for sandal extraction were prepared for HunsurTalik in 1910, Heggadadevanakote in 1920 and Narasimharajapura in 1926. In 1871, the parasitic nature of sandal was reported by John Scott. Watt (1893) described the technique of raising sandal seedlings in tile pots in the nurseries and planting in the field. McCarthy (1899) first noticed the spike disease of sandal in Coorg. Brandis (1903) suggested that though sandal is a root parasite, it may derive part of its nutrition from the soil as well. Barber (1905) noted that haustoria formation occurred only on certain roots of sandal and not on all of them. This plant forms a non-obligate relationship with a number of host plants (Nagaveni& Vijayalakshmi,2004).

There is atleast 3 kinds of Sandal namely White Sandal (*Santalum album*) called "Sweta Chandana", Red Sandal (*Pterocarpus santalinus*) called "Rakta Chandana" and interior Sandal Ku-chandana (*Adenantherapavonina*). These plants belong to different species and families and have different properties as evidenced from their synonyms. Sandal is a moderate sized evergreen large shrubs or small trees (*S. spicatum*) to tall trees of 12-15 m. in height (*S. album* in India and *S. paniculatum*in Hawaii) and the girth of 1.0 -2.4 m. (Sen Sarma, 1982). The species (*S. album*) is distributed from Indonesia in the West to Juan Fernandez Islands in the East and from Hawaiian Archipelago in the North (300 N) to New Zealand in the South (400 S). It is believed that Sandal was introduced to India from Timor in Indonesia (Shetty, 1977).

Ecologically sandal has adapted various agro - climatic and soil conditions for *in situ* regeneration with an exception of waterlogged areas and very cold places. In India, 8 Sandal growing areas have been identified as potential provenances of Sandal on the basis of population density, phenotypic characteristics, latitude, longitude and eco-climate (Jain *et al.*, 1998). The provenances vary in climate and edaphic preference since they are located in different localities of South and Central India. The state of West Bengal is cited in the map of occurrence and distribution of *Santalum album* L. in India (Srinivasan *et al.*, 1992).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MATERIALS

Sandalwood seeds: Seeds of *Santalum album* L. were collected from Hirbundh mouza of Hirbundh Range under Bankura (South) Forest Division during the month of November-December and May-June of 2011 and 2012 for experimentation. Simultaneously, seeds of *S. album* were also procured from Institute of Wood Science and Technology, Bangalore in the month of February, 2012 for the same experimentation purposes.

- 1. Chemicals : Gibberellic acid (GA3)
- 2. Apparatus: Container, Markin cloth, Polypots, Hycopots.
- 3. **Miscellaneous:** Soil samples (for analysis), Sandalwood Samples (for oil and santalol content analysis), Sand, Bricks, Seive, FYM, Water, etc.
- 4. Meteorological Informations of Bankura District.

Table I Temperature regime (Degree Cel.) in Bankura, W.B,India.

| Month | 20 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 2019 | | | |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|--|--|
| wonth | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | | |
| JAN | 27 | 09 | 27 | 09 | 27 | 09 | 27 | 09 | | |

| FEB | 32 | 11 | 34 | 09 | 32 | 09 | 32 | 11 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| MAR | 39 | 14 | 38 | 15 | 37 | 16 | 39 | 14 |
| APR | 43 | 20 | 42 | 19 | 38 | 18 | 43 | 20 |
| MAY | 44 | 24 | 45 | 22 | 43 | 21 | 44 | 24 |
| JUN | 39 | 24 | 37 | 22 | 41 | 23 | 39 | 24 |
| JUL | 38 | 24 | 37 | 25 | 35 | 25 | 38 | 24 |
| AUG | 35 | 23 | 34 | 24 | 35 | 24 | 35 | 23 |
| SEP | 34 | 24 | 36 | 24 | 35 | 22 | 34 | 24 |
| OCT | 34 | 16 | 35 | 20 | 34 | 18 | 34 | 16 |
| NOV | 32 | 11 | 32 | 13 | 32 | 16 | 32 | 11 |
| DEC | 32 | 11 | 32 | 13 | 32 | 16 | 32 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 429 | 211 | 429 | 205 | 421 | 217 | 429 | 211 |

 Table II Monthly rainfall in the district of the site (Deg. Cel.),

 Bankura, WB

| Month | Normal | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| JAN | 17 | 20 | 03 | 07 | 16 |
| FEB | 12 | 13 | 40 | 15 | 12 |
| MAR | 19 | 07 | 09 | 04 | 19 |
| APR | 27 | 04 | 57 | 66 | 27 |
| MAY | 65 | 52 | 76 | 77 | 65 |
| JUN | 198 | 213 | 247 | 183 | 198 |
| JUL | 272 | 331 | 255 | 471 | 272 |
| AUG | 293 | 332 | 445 | 386 | 293 |
| SEP | 246 | 358 | 139 | 384 | 246 |
| OCT | 122 | 18 | 95 | 00 | 122 |
| NOV | 15 | 99 | 00 | 00 | 15 |
| DEC | 03 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 03 |
| TOTAL | 1289 | 1453 | 1366 | 1687 | 1289 |

Table III Temperature regime (Degree Cel.) in Nepal

| MONTH | 20 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 19 | | |
|-------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|--|--|
| MONTH | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | | |
| JAN | 26 | 05 | 27 | 04 | 24 | 02 | 24 | 01 | | |
| FEB | 30 | 07 | 34 | 06 | 29 | 03 | 29 | 04 | | |
| MAR | 37 | 10 | 38 | 13 | 34 | 09 | 34 | 07 | | |
| APR | 41 | 20 | 38 | 09 | 35 | 12 | 35 | 11 | | |
| MAY | 42 | 24 | 39 | 20 | 40 | 18 | 40 | 17 | | |
| JUN | 37 | 24 | 35 | 18 | 38 | 20 | 38 | 21 | | |
| JUL | 36 | 24 | 34 | 17 | 32 | 22 | 32 | 21 | | |
| AUG | 33 | 23 | 31 | 16 | 31 | 23 | 31 | 23 | | |
| SEP | 32 | 24 | 33 | 15 | 30 | 22 | 30 | 24 | | |
| OCT | 31 | 16 | 32 | 14 | 29 | 21 | 29 | 21 | | |
| NOV | 30 | 11 | 30 | 12 | 26 | 19 | 26 | 18 | | |
| DEC | 27 | 10 | 26 | 08 | 22 | 02 | 22 | 02 | | |
| Total | Total 402 198 | | 397 | 152 | 370 | 172 | 370 170 | | | |

 Table IV Monthly rainfall in the district of the site (Deg. Cel.),

 Nepal

| MONTH | Normal | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| JAN | 20 | 40 | 03 | 42 | 08 |
| FEB | 19 | 33 | 40 | 35 | 45 |
| MAR | 28 | 17 | 09 | 19 | 15 |
| APR | 42 | 09 | 57 | 11 | 62 |
| MAY | 69 | 182 | 76 | 184 | 81 |
| JUN | 299 | 211 | 247 | 214 | 252 |
| JUL | 292 | 539 | 355 | 541 | 359 |
| AUG | 339 | 412 | 445 | 412 | 449 |
| SEP | 366 | 438 | 139 | 476 | 143 |
| OCT | 222 | 118 | 95 | 129 | 104 |
| NOV | 105 | 29 | 10 | 43 | 51 |
| DEC | 203 | 96 | 00 | 101 | 00 |
| Total | 2824 | 2124 | 1467 | 2207 | 1569 |

Six types of this genus taken for the experiment

Pecularities were observed in leaf shape, leaf size, colour, thickness, texture and flowering seasons. The seadling planted in different forest gardens have been shown foliar variations. Leaves are opposite, and opposite decussate, sometimes show whorled arrangement. The leaf shape varies from lanceolate to ovate or elliptical (Kulkarni and Srimathi 1982). Two consipicuous types of white sandal trees are observed where from the seeds were collected in Hirbandh forest garden which are as follows:

Table II Morphogenetic peculiarities of S album L

| S.No. | Characterstics | Observation-1 | Observation-2 |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1 st Type | Leaf shape | lanceolate | Ovate |
| 2 nd Type | Leaf Length | 5.5cm | 5.5cm |
| 3 rd Type | Leaf Width | 1.7cm | 2.7cm |
| 4 th Type | Leaf colour | Light green | Deep green |
| 5 th Type | Leaf thickness | Less thick | More thick |
| 6 th Type | Leaf texture | Less glossy | More glossy |

METHODS

Statistical calculations done as follow by Sharma (1995) for the Genotypic Environmental Interaction analyses based on means: first, Comstock and Robin's Model, and second, Wricke's Models of Ecovalance.

Lay-out and Design: Randomized Block Design (RBD) Layout and design was followed as laid down by Panse and Sukhatme (2005).

Soil Test: As recommended by IARI Soil Testing Kits.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following data of the phenotopic characters were observed and tabulated In the following tables

- 1. Plant Height (cm) overaged over 5 samples per plot of each type for 6 types of white sandal plant*Santalum album* L.[Table no: 1.1]
- 2. Branches / plant (no) overaged over 5 samples per plot of each type for 6 types of white sandal plant *Santalum album* L. [Table no: 2.1]
- 3. Basal girth (cm)overaged over 5 samples per plot of each type for 6 types of white sandal plant *Santalum album* L. [Table no: 3.1]
- 4. Leaf length (cm)overaged over 5 samples per plot of each type for 6 types of white sandal plant *Santalum album* L. [Table no: 4.1]
- 5. Leaf breadth (cm)overaged over 5 samples per plot of each type for 6 types of white sandal plant *Santalum album* L. [Table no: 5.1]

Similarly all the tables [Table 1.2 to Table 5.7]towards anova for G*E, total for g, l and g*l, total y and g*y, total l*y, ANOVA for GxE interaction estimates of variance components and heritability .

 Table 6 Soil tests reports and macronutrients (Soil Samples)

| Location | PH | OC % | Available ammo. N ₂ | Available N (PPM) | Available K(PPM) | Available P(PPM) |
|----------------------|-----|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Hetauda, Nepal | 7.1 | High | Medium | High | Very | Normal |
| Biratnagar, Nepal | 6.9 | High | Low | High | Very high | Blank |
| Bagaldhara, India | 7.0 | Medium | Low | Normal | High | Blank |

Quality Assessment (oil content) of sandalwood

Quality of sandalwood depends on the oil and alcohol (Santalol) content of the heartwood. The oil content of the sandalwood very much dependable on the components available in the soil where the plant was grown in our case. In our case the oil content of sandalwood was estimated collecting wood samples from the stand/ stock of Hirbandh forest range office, Bankura south division, India and the standing stock wood samples from Hetauda and Biratnagar gardens in Nepal. These wood samples were analyzed in the

institute of wood science and technology (IWST), Bangalore, India. The results show that the heartwood contents α santalol=59.40% and β -santalol= 30.25% in Hirbandh, India and α -santalol= 60.1% and 59.9%; β -santalol= 30.5% and 30.9% from the wood sample of Hetauda and Biratnagar, Nepal respectively (Das and Tah 2014). The quality of the heartwood of sandal have been cited in a table given below:

Table 7 Quality Assessment (oil content) of sandalwood

| Sl.no | Name of Chemical | Content% |
|------------|---------------------|----------|
| | α- santalol | 60.1% |
| II. | β-santalol | 30.5% |
| Hetauda | Total Santalol | 90.6% |
| | Oil content | 4.2% |
| | α- santalol | 59.9% |
| D: | β-santalol | 30.9% |
| Biratnagar | Total Santalol | 90.8% |
| | Oil content | 4.1% |
| | α- santalol | 59.40% |
| Desaldham | β-santalol | 30.25% |
| Bagalunara | Total Santalol | 89.65% |
| | Oil content | 4.0% |

DISCUSSION

In this context, it has been found that the total replication value over the location was found to be greater in case of Biratnagar location than the second position location was Bagaldhara. In case of total g, l and gxl (table 1.2, 2.2, 3.2 4.2 and 5.2), it has been found that the location three was the highest adaptive zone but, location 1 and location 2 were the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} position of locations. According the phenotypic data the plant height played an important major role. That's why we considered plant height as the constant phenotypic character in all cases. Considering all the characteristics features it has been summarized as follows:

Bagaldhara, India >Hetauda, Nepal> Biratnagar in regard to stem girth. But, in case of plant height it has been observed that Bagaldhara, India >Biatnagar, Nepal>Hetauda, Nepal.

owever, in context to the calculation of all the locations, there were a mixed model of tendencies in regard to growth and development at this stage. Indeed, after 20 to 25 years there might be a nice observations to complete each and every location but, Bagaldhara has a specific constant steady role for growth and development in a regular manner.

There are some relevant references on this aspect viz. Zhang and Zhou (2010) who worked on Salicylic acid in plant disease resistance over the locations. Bent et al (1994) worked on Arabidopsis thalianafor the repeat class of plant disease resistance genes and its establishment and variations over the locations. Once again Zipfel et al (2004) observed the bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Lawton et al (1996) enumerated the Benzothiadizole induces disease resistance. Bruce at el (2014) found out the new hypotheses on seed-toseed growth and development on Arabidopsis thaliana and their physiological growth of patterns over the locations. Yetisen et al (2011) published a new assay study on pollen tube germination. Abbott and Gomes (1989) focused the population genetics structure and out-crossing root of Arabidopsis thaliana. Das (2013), Das and Tah(2014, 2015 and 2016) also observed the locational variation and the effect of different edaphic factors on white sandalwood in different forest garden of West Bengal.

| | | | Loca | tion – I | l [He | tauda |] | | | L | ocatio | on – 2 | [Bira | tnagai | r] | | | | Locat | ion – 3 | Bag | aldha | ra] | |
|-------|------|------|-------|----------|--------|-------|--------|-------|------|------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|------|------|------|-------|---------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| г /р | | Yea | r – 1 | | | Yea | ır - 2 | | | Yea | r - 1 | | | Yea | r - 2 | | | | Year | · – 1 | | | Yea | r - 2 |
| 1 / N | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ |
| 1 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 20.2 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 33.1 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 14.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 13.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 32.0 | 7.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 22.0 |
| 2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 23.4 | 11.0 | 12.4 | 11,5 | 34.9 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 13.9 | 33.5 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 13.7 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 35.0 | 11.2 | 13.7 | 9.0 | 34.0 |
| 3 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 14.5 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 34.3 | 3,8 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 14.0 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 13.4 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 10.1 | 29.1 | 18.0 | 11.3 | 1.07 | 30.37 |
| 4 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 18.4 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 34.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 14.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 12.9 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 33.0 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 11.0 | 40.5 |
| 5 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 19.5 | 10.7 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 35.0 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 15.2 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 13.9 | 6.0 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 30.7 | 10.0 | 4.9 | 16.9 | 31.8 |
| 6 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 21.0 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 34.1 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 14.8 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 13.8 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 21.0 | 18.6 | 13.6 | 9.8 | 42.0 |
| Σ | 38.5 | 37.4 | 41.1 | 117.0 | 63.9 | 71.6 | 70.1 | 205.6 | 23.3 | 28.1 | 35.2 | 86.6 | 22.6 | 26.0 | 32.2 | 80.8 | 52.0 | 65.7 | 63.1 | 180.8 | 79.1 | 72.7 | 49.67 | 201.17 |

 Table 1.1 Plant height (m) over K=5 samples/plot for 6 types of white sandal in 3 locations for 2 years' plantation having 3 replications

 $TR_1 = 279.4$, $TR_2 = 301.5$, $TR_3 = 291.37$, GT = 872.27

| Tables > | 1.2: | Total for g, l an | d gxl | 1 | .3: Total for y | and gxy | 1.4: Total for lxy | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Variety | L-1 | L-1 L-2 L-3 | | Variety | iety Year-1 Year-2 | | Loc | Yr-1 | Yr-2 | Σ | | | |
| А | TAL1= 53.3 | TAL2=27.7 | TAL3= 54.0 | А | TAY1=66.8 | TAL2= 68.2 | 1 | GT1=117.0 | GT2=205.6 | T11=322.6 | | | |
| В | TBL1=58.3 | TBL2 =27.6 | TBL3= 69.8 | В | TB Y1=72.3 | TBL2 = 83.4 | 2 | GT3= 86.6 | GT4= 80.8 | T12=167.4 | | | |
| С | TCL1=48.8 | TCL2=27.4 | TCL3= 59.47 | С | TC Y1= 57.6 | TCL2=78.07 | 3 | GT5=180.8 | GT6=201.17 | T13=381.97 | | | |
| D | TDL1= 52.6 | TDL2=27.0 | TDL3=73.5 | D | TD Y1=65.5 | TDL2= 87.6 | Σ | 384.4 | 487.57 | 871.97 | | | |
| Е | TEL1= 54.5 | TEL2=29.1 | TEL3= 62.5 | Е | TE Y1=65.4 | TEL2= 80.7 | | | | | | | |
| F | TFL1=55.1 | TFL2=28.6 | TFL3=63.0 | F | TF Y1= 56.8 | TFL2= 89.9 | | | | | | | |
| Σ | 322.6 167.4 382.27 | | Σ | 384.4 | 487.87 | | | | | | | | |

 Table 2.1 Basal Girth of Plant (cm) over K=5 samples/plot for 6 types of white sandal in 3 locations for 2 years' plantation having 3 replications

| | Location – 1 [Hetauda] | | | | | | | | | | Loc | ation – | 2 [Bira | nagar] | | | | Location – 3 [Bagaldhara] | | | | | | |
|------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|------|------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|----------|-------|
| T /R | | Yea | r - 1 | | | Yea | ır - 2 | | | Yea | ır - 1 | | | Yea | ar - 2 | | | | Year | -1 | | | Year - 2 | |
| | I | II | III | Σ | I | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | I | II | III | Σ | Ι | П | III | Σ |
| 1 | 30.0 | 34.0 | 16.0 | 80.0 | 48.0 | 58.0 | 66.0 | 172.0 | 9.1 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 33.6 | 14.0 | 22.0 | 16.0 | 52.0 | 80.3 | 66.1 | 42.0 | 188.4 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 21.6 |
| 2 | 36.0 | 25.0 | 27.5 | 88.5 | 80.0 | 52.0 | 80.0 | 212.0 | 8.4 | 16.0 | 11.1 | 35.5 | 17.0 | 25.0 | 20.2 | 62.2 | 9.8 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 41.0 | 9.8 | 18.6 | 3.5 | 31.9 |
| 3 | 10.0 | 29.9 | 25.9 | 64.9 | 60.0 | 82.5 | 68.5 | 211.0 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 34.4 | 15.0 | 26.0 | 24.5 | 65.5 | 7.6 | 50.0 | 15.8 | 73.4 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 4.8 | 21.8 |
| 4 | 32.0 | 39.0 | 38.7 | 109.7 | 42.0 | 72.0 | 48.0 | 162.0 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 35.3 | 14.5 | 17.0 | 25.7 | 57.2 | 48.6 | 52.3 | 58.0 | 158.9 | 3.7 | 19.6 | 18.6 | 41.9 |
| 5 | 26.0 | 40.0 | 45.5 | 111.5 | 72.0 | 70.5 | 77.5 | 220.0 | 11.0 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 27.8 | 16.0 | 21.0 | 23.5 | 60.5 | 36.3 | 42.9 | 18.8 | 98.0 | 17.3 | 14.8 | 19.3 | 51.4 |
| 6 | 36.5 | 44.5 | 39.5 | 120.5 | 60.0 | 66.5 | 59.5 | 186.0 | 13.0 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 32.6 | 16.0 | 27.0 | 27.5 | 70.5 | 33.2 | 21.0 | 9.8 | 64.0 | 15.4 | 13.2 | 18.0 | 46.6 |
| Σ | 170.5 | 211.5 | 193.1 | 575.1 | 362.0 | 401.5 | 399.5 | 1163.0 | 65.0 | 69.1 | 65.1 | 199.2 | 92.5 | 138.0 | 137.4 | 367.9 | 215.8 | 248.3 | 159.6 | 623.7 | 64.8 | 51.9 | 68.5 | 215.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

 $TR_1 = 970.6$, $TR_2 = 1150.3$, $TR_3 = 1023.2$, GT = 3144.1

| Tables > | 2.2: Total f | or g, l and gxl of | f <i>S. album</i> L. | 2.3: To | tal for y and gxy | of S. album L. | | 2.4: Total fo | r lxy of <i>S. albu</i> | n L. |
|----------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|-----|---------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Variety | L-1 | L-2 | L-3 | Variety | Year-1 | Year-2 | Loc | Yr-1 | Yr-2 | Σ |
| А | TAL1=252 | TAL2=85.6 | TAL3=21.0 | А | TAY1=188.4 | TAL2=245.6 | 1 | GT-1= 575.1 | GT2=1163.0 | T11=1738 |
| В | TBL1=300.5 | TBL2=97.7 | TBL3=72.9 | В | TB Y1=165.0 | TBL2=306.1 | 2 | GT3=199.2 | GT4= 367.9 | T12=567.1 |
| С | TCL1=275.9 | TCL2=99.9 | TCL3=95.2 | С | TC Y1=172.7 | TCL2=298.3 | 3 | GT5=623.7 | GT6215.2 | T13=838.9 |
| D | TDL1=271.7 | TDL2= 92.5 | TDL3=200.8 | D | TD Y1=303.9 | TDL2=261.1 | Σ | 1398.0 | 1746.1 | 3144.1 |
| Е | TEL1=331.5 | TEL2=88.3 | TEL3=149.4 | Е | TE Y1=237.3 | TEL2=331.9 | | | | |
| F | TFL1= 306.5 | TFL2=103.1 | TFL3=110.6 | F | TF Y1=217.3 | TFL2= 303.1 | | | | |
| Σ | 1738.1 | 567.1 | 838.9 | Σ | 1398.0 | 1746.1 | | | | |

 Table 3.1 Branches/Plant (no) over K=5 samples/plot for 6 types of white sandal in 3 locations for 2 years' plantation having 3 replications

| | Location – 1 [Hetauda] | | | | | | | | Location – 2 [Biratnagar] | | | | | | | | | | Locati | on – 3 [| Bagal | dhara] | | |
|-----|-------------------------|----|--------|-----|----|-----|--------|-----|---------------------------|-----|-------|----|----|-----|-------|----|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------|--------|-----|-------|
| T/R | | Ye | ar - 1 | | | Yea | ar - 2 | | | Yea | r - 1 | | | Yea | r - 2 | | | | Year | r – 1 | | | Yea | r - 2 |
| | Ι | Π | III | Σ | Ι | II | ш | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | п | ш | Σ | Ι | п | III | Σ |
| 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 118 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 31 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 85 | 27 | 15 | 127 | 6 | 18 | 8 | 32 |
| 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 21 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 31 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 44 | 76 | 9 | 12 | 23 | 44 |
| 3 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 22 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 26 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 30 | 10 | 16 | 25 | 51 |
| 4 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 28 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 52 | 30 | 21 | 24 | 76 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 27 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 21 | 14 | 42 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 19 |
| 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 27 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 21 | 33 | 25 | 79 | 9 | 7 | 22 | 38 |
| Σ | 33 | 39 | 40 | 112 | 42 | 65 | 63 | 170 | 13 | 21 | 15 | 49 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 91 | 156 | 122 | 128 | 406 | 68 | 79 | 113 | 260 |

 $TR_1 = 341.0$, $TR_2 = 357.0$, $TR_3 = 390.0$, GT = 1088.0

| Tables > | 3.2: | Total for g, l a | nd gxl | | 3.3: Total for y a | nd gxy | | 3.4: | Total for lxy | |
|----------|------------|------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|-----|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Variety | L-1 | L-2 | L-3 | Variety | Year-1 | Year-2 | Loc | Yr-1 | Yr-2 | Σ |
| А | TAL1=49.0 | TAL2= 31.0 | TAL3=159.0 | А | TAY1=153.0 | TAL2= 76.0 | 1 | GT1=112.0 | GT2=170.0 | T11=282.0 |
| В | TBL1= 52.0 | TBL2=26.0 | TBL3=120.0 | В | TB Y1= 107.0 | TBL2 = 91.0 | 2 | GT3=49.0 | GT4=91.0 | T12=140.0 |
| С | TCL1=48.0 | TCL2= 24.0 | TCL3=81.0 | С | TC Y1= 59.0 | TCL2= 94.0 | 3 | GT5=406.0 | GT6=260.0 | T13=666.0 |
| D | TDL1=47.0 | TDL2=24.0 | TDL3=128.0 | D | TD Y1=78.0 | TDL2=118.0 | Σ | 567.0 | 521.0 | 1088,0 |
| E | TEL1=43.0 | TEL2=25.0 | TEL3=61.0 | Е | TE Y1=68.0 | TEL2=61.0 | | | | |
| F | TFL1=43.0 | TFL2=23.0 | TFL3=117,0 | F | TF Y1=102 | TFL2= 81.0 | | | | |
| Σ | 282.0 | 143.0 | 666.0 | Σ | 567 | 521.0 | | | | |

 Table 4.1 Leaf length (cm) over K=5 samples/plot for 6 types of white sandal in 3 locations for 2 years' plantation having 3 replications

| | Location – 1 [Hetauda] | | | | | | | | | Location – 2 [Biratnagar] | | | | | | | | | Locati | on – 3 [| Bagal | dhara] | | |
|------|-------------------------|------|--------|-------|------|------|--------|-------|------|---------------------------|--------|-------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|----------|-------|--------|------|-------|
| тл | | Yea | ır - 1 | | | Yea | ır - 2 | | | Yea | ır - 1 | | | Yea | ar - 2 | | | | Year | · – 1 | | | Yea | r - 2 |
| 1 /K | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | П | III | Σ | Ι | П | III | Σ | Ι | II | Ш | Σ | Ι | Π | III | Σ | Ι | Π | Ш | Σ |
| 1 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 17.5 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 25.2 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 19.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 25.1 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 23.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 25.3 |
| 2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 16.6 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 25.9 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 17.8 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 25.7 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 22.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 19.0 |
| 3 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 16.9 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 23.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 18.8 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 26.2 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 21.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 25.2 |
| 4 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 19.3 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 23.2 | 7,0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 21.5 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 26.1 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 19.0 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 24.0 |
| 5 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 20,0 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 24.8 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 21.1 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 27.1 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 24.5 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 6.6 | 24.0 |
| 6 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 18.5 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 24.8 | 7,0 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 20.8 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 27.7 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 19.3 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 22.4 |
| Σ | 34.3 | 35.2 | 39.3 | 108.8 | 49.0 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 147.4 | 38.7 | 40.9 | 39.4 | 119.0 | 51.6 | 51.5 | 54.8 | 157.9 | 143.0 | 40.2 | 45.6 | 128.8 | 44.6 | 50.1 | 45.2 | 139.9 |

 $TR_1 = 361.2$, $TR_2 = 267.1$, $TR_3 = 273.5$, GT = 901.8

| Tables > | 4.2: 7 | Fotal for g, l ar | nd gxl | 4 | .3: Total for y a | nd gxy | | 4.4: T | otal for lxy | |
|----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|-----|------------|--------------|-----------|
| Variety | L-1 | L-2 | L-3 | Variety | Year-1 | Year-2 | Loc | Yr-1 | Yr-2 | Σ |
| А | TAL1=42.7 | TAL2=44.1 | TAL3=48.3 | Α | TAY1 = 59.5 | TAL2=75.6 | 1 | GT-1=108.8 | GT2=147.4 | T11=256.2 |
| В | TBL1=42.5 | TBL2 = 43.5 | TBL3=41.0 | В | TB Y1 = 56.4 | TBL2= 70.6 | 2 | GT3=119.0 | GT4=157.9 | T12=276.9 |
| С | TCL1=40.4 | TCL2=45.0 | TCL3=46.2 | С | TC Y1= 56.7 | TCL2=74.9 | 3 | GT5=128.8 | GT6= 139.9 | T13=268.7 |
| D | TDL1=42.5 | TDL2=47.6 | TDL3=43.0 | D | TD Y1 = 59.8 | TDL2=73.3 | Σ | 356.6 | 445.2 | 801.8 |
| Е | TEL1=44.8 | TEL2=48.2 | TEL3=48.5 | Е | TE Y1 = 65.6 | TEL2=75.9 | | | | |
| F | TFL1=43.3 | TFL2=48.5 | TFL3=41.7 | F | TF Y1 = 58.6 | TFL2=74.9 | | | | |
| Σ | 256.2 | 276.9 | 268.7 | Σ | 356.6 | 445.2 | | | | |

 Table 5.1 Leaf breadth (cm) over K=5 samples/plot for 6 types of white sandal in 3 locations for 2 years' plantation having 3 replications

| | Location – 1 [Hetauda] | | | | | | | | | L | ocatio | on – 2 | [Bira | tnaga | r] | | | Location – 3 [Bagaldhara] | | | | | | |
|------|------------------------|------|--------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|---------------------------|------|-------|------|------|----------|------|
| T /R | | Yea | ır - 1 | | | Yea | ır - 2 | | | Yea | r - 1 | | | Yea | r - 2 | | | | Year | r – 1 | | | Year - 2 | |
| | Ι | Π | Ш | Σ | Ι | II | Ш | Σ | Ι | II | III | Σ | Ι | Π | Ш | Σ | Ι | Π | Ш | Σ | Ι | II | Ш | Σ |
| 1 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 8.9 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 11.1 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 7.8 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 10.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 8.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 7.2 |
| 2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 10.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 7.6 |
| 3 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 11.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 10,7 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 7.1 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 10.7 |
| 4 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 11.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 8.9 | 4.1 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 15.6 |
| 5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 7.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 11.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 10.4 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 9.2 |
| 6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 12.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 11.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 7.2 |
| Σ | 15.5 | 17.1 | 15.8 | 48.4 | 19.7 | 23.5 | 26.7 | 69.9 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 14.7 | 42.7 | 19.5 | 20.7 | 23.6 | 63.8 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 16.1 | 53.9 | 18.4 | 21.8 | 17.3 | 57.5 |

 $TR_1 = 105.7$, $TR_2 = 116.3$, $TR_3 = 114.2$, GT = 336.2

| Tables > | 5.2: | Total for g, l ar | nd gxl | 4 | 5.3: Total for y a | nd gxy | | 5.4: | Total for lxy | |
|----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|------------|-----|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Variety | L-1 | L-2 | L-3 | Variety | Year-1 | Year-2 | Loc | Yr-1 | Yr-2 | Σ |
| А | TAL1=20.0 | TAL2=18.3 | TAL3=15.7 | А | TAY1=25.2 | TAL2=28.8 | 1 | GT-1=48.4 | GT2= 69.9 | T11=118.3 |
| В | TBL1=18.6 | TBL2=18.0 | TBL3=16.0 | В | TB Y1=23.7 | TBL2=28.9 | 2 | GT3 42.7 | GT4=63.8 | T12=106.5 |
| С | TCL1=20.2 | TCL2=18.2 | TCL3=17.8 | С | TC Y1=22.9 | TCL2=33.3 | 3 | GT5= 53.9 | GT6= 57.5 | T13=111.4 |
| D | TDL1=19.7 | TDL2=17.2 | TDL3=24.5 | D | TD Y1=23.4 | TDL2=38.0 | Σ | 145.0 | 191.2 | |
| Е | TEL1=19.2 | TEL2=17.1 | TEL3=19.0 | Е | TE Y1=24.0 | TEL2=31.3 | | | | |
| F | TFL1=20.6 | TFL2=17.7 | TFL3=18.4 | F | TF Y1=25.8 | TFL2= 30.9 | | | | |
| Σ | 118.3 | 106.5 | 111.4 | Σ | 145.0 | 191.2 | | | | |

| | Tab | le 6 ANO | VA for G | XE interaction | Table | 7 ANO | VA for GX | KE interac | tion (calcu | lated value |) | Table 8 Estimates of variance components and h2 | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|--|----------|-------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| s v | đf | 66 | Mee | Expostations | S V | đf | | | MSS | | | Fatimata | v | v | v | v | v |
| 5.v. | ui | 33 | MSS | Expectations | 5.v. | ui | X ₁ | X2 | X3 | X_4 | X5 | Estimate | Λ_1 | Λ_2 | Λ_3 | Λ_4 | Λ5 |
| Rep(r) | r-1 | RSS | RMS | | Rep(r) | 2 | 3.399 | 237.09 | 17.34 | 76.79 | 0.875 | $\delta^2 g$ | -1.52 | -44.61 | -10.32 | -35.26 | -0.10 |
| Loc (l) | l-1 | LSS | LMS | | Loc (l) | 2 | 341.74 | 10433 | 2068.71 | -785.68 | 0.97 | $\delta^2 e$ | 4.11 | 69.45 | 58.88 | -1.96 | 0.39 |
| Year(y) | y-1 | YSS | YMS | | Year(y) | 1 | 99.13 | 1121.97 | 19.59 | -1504.72 | 19.76 | δ²gl | -3.20 | 10.89 | -9.60 | 52.09 | -0.03 |
| L x y | (l-1)(y-1 | LYSS | LYMS | | Lху | 2 | 62.50 | 6952.32 | 345.68 | 795.78 | 2.90 | δ²gy | -1,74 | 21.13 | -3.40 | 52.20 | 0.007 |
| Gen (g) | (g-1) | GSS | GMS | Δ^2 e+r\delta^2gly+ry\delta^2gl+rl\delta^2gy+rly\delta^2eg | Gen (g) | 6 | 4.116 | 110.03 | 83.27 | -314.23 | 0.50 | $\delta^2 g l y$ | 5.75 | 49.19 | 20.06 | -50.67 | 0.160 |
| Gxl | (g-1)(l-1) | GLSS | GLMS | $\Delta^2 e + r\delta^2 g l y + ry \delta^2 g l$ | Gxl | 12 | 2.932 | 284.57 | 61.47 | 158.61 | 0.65 | $\delta^2 p$ | -0.59 | -6.50 | -89.46 | -8.82 | -0.018 |
| Gхy | (g-1)(y-1) | GYSS | GYMS | $\Delta^2 e + r\delta^2 g l y + ry\delta^2 g l + r l \delta^2 g y$ | Gxy | 6 | 6.421 | 409.41 | 88.47 | 315.90 | 0.94 | h ² _{BS} | 2.54 | 6.86 | 2.07 | 3.99 | 6.053 |
| Gxlxy | (g-1)(l-1)(y-1 | GLYSS | GLYMS | $\Delta^2 e + r\delta^2 gly$ | Gxlxy | 12 | 22.166 | 219.201 | 119.08 | -153.97 | 0.87 | | | | | | |
| Error(e) | (r-1)(gly-1) | ESS | EMS | $\Delta^2 e$ | Error(e) | 42 | 4.900 | 69.45 | 58.88 | -1.96 | 0.39 | | | | | | |
| Σ | | | | | Σ | 85 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comment

GEI model is used specially for evaluating the germplasm whether it is adapted in location or not? In this case we have clearly evidence and noted the potentiality of location as per their capability on higherarchial basis. The environmental fluctuation has also been observed and mention hereunder.

References

- Abbott RJ, Gomes MF (1989). "Population genetic structure and outcrossing rate of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh". Heredity. **62**(3): 411– 418. doi:10.1038/hdy.1989.56.
- Adkoli, N. S. [1977]. Sandalwood in Karnataka. *Retrospect* and prospect – Proceedings of the All India Sandal Seminar, Bangalore, 86-94.
- Barber, C.A.[1905]. The haustoria of sandal roots. *Indian Forester* 31: 189.
- Bent AF, Kunkel BN, Dahlbeck D, Brown KL, Schmidt R, Giraudat J, Leung J, Staskawicz BJ (September 1994).
 "RPS2 of Arabidopsis thaliana: a leucine-rich repeat class of plant disease resistance genes". Science. 265 (5180): 1856–60. Bibcode:1994Sci...265.1856B. doi:10.1126/science. 8091210. PMID 8091210.

- Brandis C. (1903). Treatment of the sandal tree. Indian For. 29: 3–6.
- Bruce M., Busse, James S., Stankovic, Bratislav (2014) Seed-to-Seed-to-Seed Growth and Development of Arabidopsis in Microgravity. Astrobiology Vol. 14: Issue. 10: Pages. 866-875 doi:10.1089/ast.2014.1184.
- Comstock, R.E. and Robinson, H.F. (1952a). Genetic parameters, their estimation and significance .Proc.. Sixth Intern. Grasslands Congr.284-291.
- Comstock, R.E. and Robinson, H.F. (1952b). Estimation of average dominance of genes. In: Heterosis (ed. J. W. Gowen), Iowa State College Press, Imes, Iowa, 494-516.
- Das, S.C. and J. Tah (2013). Effect of GA₃ on seed germination of sandal (*Santalum album* L.). *International Journal of Current Science* 2013, 8 : E 79-84.
- Das, S.C and J. Tah (2014). Sandalwood (Santalum album L.): its adaptability with different host species in varied edaphic condition of South-West Bengal. Abstracts of International Seminar on Sandalwood: Current Trends and Future Prospects in IWST, Bangalore (February 26-28, 2014) pp 22.
- Delaney TP, Uknes S, Vernooij B, Friedrich L, Weymann K, Negrotto D, Gaffney T, Gut-Rella M, Kessmann H, Ward E, Ryals J (November 1994). "A central role of salicylic Acid in plant disease resistance". Science. 266 (5188): 1247– 50. Bibcode:1994Sci...266.1247D. doi:10.1126/science. 266.5188.1247. PMID 17810266.
- Ferl RJ, Paul AL (April 2010). "Lunar plant biology--a review of the Apollo era". Astrobiology. 10 (3): 261– 74. Bibcode:2010AsBio..10..261F. doi:10.1089/ast.2009 .0417. PMID 20446867.
- Heggadadevanakote, (1920). Earch in zapmetaseaarch.com
- HunsurTalik (2010). Sandal seed: Its extraction and Utility. hehimalayantimes.com/opinion/sandalwood-seeds.
- IARI Soil Testing Kits, Pusa Campus, New Delhi 110 001.
- Jain,S.H., C.R.Rangaswamy and C.R.Sharma (1988). Soil properties and their relationship to the growth of sandal *(Santalum album L.)* in three study areas in Karnataka. Myforest, 24:141-146.
- John, H. (1947). The history, present distribution and abundance of sandalwood on Oahu, Hawaiian Islands. Hawaiian Plant Studies Pacific Science. I: 5-20.
- Lawton K, Friedrich L, Hunt M (1996). "Benzothiadizaole induces disease resistance by a citation of the systemic acquired resistance signal transduction pathway". The Plant Journal. **10** (1): 71–82. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.1996.10010071.x. PMID 8758979.

How to cite this article:

Roshan Kumar Yadav *et al* (2020) 'Gei Study on White Sandalwood (Santalum Album L) From the Environment in Nepal and India', *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, 09(01), pp. 20878-20883. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2020. 20883.4088

- Majumdar, G. P. [1941]. The white sandal. Science and Culture, 6: 492-495.
- Nagaveni, H.C. and G. Vijiyalakshmi, (2004). Growth performance of Sandal (*Santalum album* L) with different leguminous & non-leguminous host species. Sandalwood Research Newsletter, 18 : 1-4.
- Narasimhanrajapura (1926). Studies in the genus phytophthora in Mysore. Phytopath20 : 201-204.
- Panse, V.G. and P.V. Sukhatme (1995). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Published by I.C.A.R., Anusandhan Bhavan, Pusa, New Delhi, 110012.
- Robinson, J. (1952a) The Rate of Interest and Other Essays, London:
- Robinson, J. (1952b) The model of an expanding economy, Economic Journal.
- Sarma, C.R. and S.N. Rai (1986). Periodic annual diameter increment in saldal. Van vigyan, 24 : 69 74.
- Scott, J. (1871). Notes of horticultural in Bengal. No. 2, Loranthceae, the mistletoe order, their germination and mode of attachment, J. Royal Hort. Soc. India, 2 : 287.
- Sharma, J.R. (1995). Principals and Practice of Plant Breeding. Tata Mc Graw-Hill, New Delhi.
- Shetty, J. B. (1977). Proposals for the adoption of adequate legal measures for the protection of Sandalwood. In :Proceedinds of All India Sandal Seminar, 7-8th Feb, Karnataka Forest Department, 198-204.
- Srinivasan, V.V., V. R. Sivaramakrishnan, C. R. Rangaswamy, H.S. Ananthapadmanabhaand K.H.[1992]. Sandal – Santalum album Linn. Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education. Publsd. ICFRE, Bangalore.
- Yetisen AK, Jiang L, Cooper JR, Qin Y, Palanivelu R, Zohar Y (May 2011). "A microsystem-based assay for studying pollen tube guidance in plant reproduction". J. Micromech. Microeng. 25.
- Zhang J, Zhou JM (September 2010). "Plant immunity triggered by microbial molecular signatures". *Molecular Plant.* 3 (5): 783–93. doi:10.1093.
- Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Navarro L, Oakeley EJ, Jones JD, Felix G, Boller T (April 2004). "Bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis through flagellin perception". Nature. 428 (6984): 764– 7. Bibcode:2004Natur.428..764Z. doi:10.1038/nature02 485. PMID 15085136.